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## Discrete Event Systems

Why should you care?
Being based on natural phenomena,
Science is often explained by continous variables


$$
F=G \frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{r^{2}}
$$

Mechanics


Electrodynamic
solved by differential equations

computer
systems

[^0]
transportation
systems

[^1]
amazon.com home page

Those systems are determined by
$\ldots$
software
systems

Customers requests
Telephone calls
Train arrivals
Incoming data
Equipment failures

## discrete events
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## Automata \& languages

A primer on the Theory of Computation
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created computer science
as we know it
invented a universal computer
model, the Turing machine
broke German cyphers, most notably Enigma
invented the Turing Test
to distinguish human from machine


Can a computer compute anything?
invented a universal computer model, the Turing machine
studied the fundamental limitations of computers

Given an arbitrary program $P \&$ an input $/$

Decide whether $P$ will

- halt, eventually
- loop, forever
when run on I

This problem cannot be solved by a computer (no matter its power)

| Post-correspondance | Given a set of dominos: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| problem |  |  |  |  |
| Emil Post, 1946 | b | a | ca | abc |
|  | ca | ab | a | c |

$$
\text { Emil Post, } 1946
$$

## Many other problems were shown

 to be "uncomputable"Make a list of them s.t.
the top string equals
(repetitions are allowed)

| a | b | ca | a | abc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ab | ca | a | ab | c |

In this part of the course, we'll learn about what is "computable" and "not"

Automata \& languages
A primer on the Theory of Computation


| Part 1 | regular <br> language |
| :---: | :--- |
| Part 2 | context-free <br> language |
| Part 3 | turing <br> machine |

We'll study three models of computation, from the least powerful to the most

| Part 1 | regular <br> language <br> expressive <br> power | Part 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | context-free <br> language | machines |
| Part 3 languages |  |  |$\quad$| turing |
| :--- |
| machine |$\quad$| computer |
| :--- |

Automata \& languages
A primer on the Theory of Computation

regular language
context-free
language
turing
machine

## Part I: Regular Languages

## Finite Automata

1
Examples
Thu Sept 17
2 Definition

3 Design

4 Regular operations

- closure
- union et al.
- Vending machine dispenses soda for $\$ 0.45$ a pop.
- Accepts only dimes ( $\$ 0.10$ ) and quarters ( $\$ 0.25$ )
- Eats your money if you don't have correct change
- You're told to "implement" this functionality.
You're told to "implement" this functionality.


Why this was overkill..

- Vending machines have been around long before computers.
- Or Java, for that matter.
- Don't really need int's.
- Each int introduces $2^{32}$ possibilities
- Don't need to know how to add integers to model vending machine - total += coin.
- Java grammar, if-then-else, etc. complicate the essence.
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- Only needed two coin types " $D$ " and " $Q$ "
- symbols/letters in alphabet
- Only needed 7 possible current total amounts
- states/nodes/vertices
- Much cleaner and more aesthetically pleasing than Java lingo
- Next: generalize and abstract.


## Alphabets and Strings

- Definitions:
- An alphabet $\Sigma$ is a set of symbols (characters, letters).
- A string (or word) over $\Sigma$ is a sequence of symbols.
- The empty string is the string containing no symbols at all, and is denoted by $\varepsilon$.
- The length of the string is the number of symbols, e.g. $|\varepsilon|=0$


Questions:

1) What is $\Sigma$ ?
2) What are some good or bad strings?
3) What does $\varepsilon$ signify here?

## Finite Automaton Example
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## Formal Definition of a Finite Automaton

A finite automaton (FA) is a 5 -tuple $\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$,where

- $Q$ is a finite set called the states
- $\Sigma$ is a finite set called the alphabet
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transformation function
- $q_{0} \in Q$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states (a.k.a. final states),
- The "input string" and the tape containing it are implicit in the definition. The definition only deals with the static view.

Further explaining is needed for understanding how FA's interact with their input

## Formal Definition of a Finite Automaton

A finite automaton (FA) is a 5 -tuple ( $Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F$ ), where

- $Q$ is a finite set called the states
. $\Sigma$ is a finite set called the alphabet
$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transformation function
- $q_{0} \in Q$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states (a.k.a. final states)


## Accept States

- How does an FA operate on strings?

Imagine an auxiliary tape containing the string
The FA reads the tape from left to right with each new character causing the FA to go into another state.

When the string is completely read, the string is accepted depending on whether the FA's final state was an accept state

- How does an FA operate on strings?
tmagine an auxiliary tape containing the strins
The FA reads the tape from left to right with each new character causing the FA to go into another state.

When the string is completely read, the string is accepted
depending on whether the FA's final state was an accept state.

- Definition: A string $u$ is accepted by an automaton $M$ iff (if and only if the path starting at $q_{0}$ which is labeled by $u$ ends in an accept state.


## Language

- Definition:

The language accepted by an finite automaton $M$ is the set of all string which are accepted by $M$. The language is denoted by $L(M)$. We also say that $M$ recognizes $L(M)$, or that $M$ accepts $L(M)$

- Think of all the possible ways of getting from the start to any accept state

How does an FA operate on strings?
tmasine an auxiliary tane containine the strind
The FA reads the tape from left to right with each new character causing the FA to go into another state.

When the string is completely read, the string is accepted
depending on whether the FA's final state was an accept state.

Definition: A string $u$ is accepted by an automaton M iff (if and only if the path starting at $q_{0}$ which is labeled by $u$ ends in an accept state.

- This definition is somewhat informal. To really define what it means for a string to label a path, you need to break $u$ up into its sequence of characters and apply $\delta$ repeatedly, keeping track of states.

Definition
The language accepted by an finite automaton $M$ is the set of all strings which are accepted by $M$. The language is denoted by $L(M)$
We also say that $M$ recognizes $L(M)$, or that $M$ accepts $L(M)$.

- Think of all the possible ways of getting from the start to any accept state.
- We will eventually see that not all languages can be described as the accepted language of some FA.
- A language $L$ is called a regular language if there exists a FA $M$ that recognizes the language $L$.


## Designing Finite Automata

This is essentially a creative process..
"You are the automaton" method

- Given a language (for which we must design an automaton)
- Pretending to be automaton, you receive an input strin
- You get to see the symbols in the string one by one.
- After each symbol you must determine whether string seen so far is part of the language.
- Like an automaton, you don't see the end of the string, so you must always be ready to answer right away
- Main point: What is crucial, what defines the language?!


## Definition of Regular Language

- Recall the definition of a regular language:

A language $L$ is called a regular language if there exists FA $M$ that recognizes the language $L$.

- We would like to understand what types of languages are regular Languages of this type are amenable to super-fast recognition.

1) $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$,

Language consists of all strings with odd number of ones
2) $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$,

Language consists of all strings with substring "001", for example 100101, but not 1010110101.

More examples in the book and in the exercises.

## Definition of Regular Language

- Recall the definition of a regular language:

A language $L$ is called a regular language if there exists
a FA $M$ that recognizes the language $L$.

- We would like to understand what types of languages are regular. languages of this type are amenable to super-fast recognition
- Are the following languages regular?
- Unary prime numbers: $\{11,111,11111,1111111,11111111111, \ldots\}$ $=\left\{1^{2}, 1^{3}, 1^{5}, 1^{7}, 1^{11}, 1^{13}, \ldots\right\}=\left\{1^{p} \mid p\right.$ is a prime number $\}$
- Palindromic bit strings: $\{\varepsilon, 0,1,00,11,000,010,101,111, \ldots\}$


## Finite Languages

- All the previous examples had the following property in common infinite cardinality
- Before looking at infinite languages, we should look at finite languages
- All the previous examples had the following property in common infinite cardinality
- Before looking at infinite languages, we should look at finite languages
- Question:

Is the singleton language containing one string regular? For example, is the language \{banana\} regular?

## Languages of Cardinality 1

- Answer: Yes.


Languages of Cardinality 1

- Answer: Yes

- Question: Huh? What's wrong with this automaton?!? What if the automation is in state $q_{1}$ and reads a " $b$ "?
- Answer: Yes.

- Question: Huh? What's wrong with this automaton?!?

What if the automation is in state $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and reads a " b "?

- Answer

This a first example of a nondeterministic FA. The difference between a deterministic FA (DFA) and a nondeterministic FA (NFA) is that every DFA state has one exiting transition arrow for each symbol of the alphabet.

## Arbitrary Finite Number of Finite Strings

- Theorem: All finite languages are regular.

Languages of Cardinality 1

- Answer: Yes

- Question: Huh? What's wrong with this automaton?!?

What if the automation is in state $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and reads a " b "?

Answer
This a first example of a nondeterministic FA. The difference between a deterministic FA (DFA) and a nondeterministic FA (NFA) is that every DFA state has one exiting transition arrow for each symbol of the alphabet.

- Question: Is there a way of fixing it and making it deterministic?

Arbitrary Finite Number of Finite Strings

- Theorem: All finite languages are regular
- Proof:

One can always construct a tree whose leaves are word-ending Make word endings into accept states, add a fail sink-state and add links to the fail state to finish the construction.

Since there's only a finite number of finite strings, the automaton is finite.

Theorem: All finite languages are regular

- Proof:

One can always construct a tree whose leaves are word-ending Make word endings into accept states, add a fail sink-state and add links to the fail state to finish the construction.

Since there's only a finite number of finite strings,
the automaton is finite.

- Example for \{banana, nab, ban, babba\}:
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## Regular Operations

- You may have come across the regular operations when doing advanced searches utilizing programs such as emacs, egrep, perl, python, etc.
- There are four basic operations we will work with:
- Union
- Concatenation
- Kleene-Star
- Kleene-Plus (which can be defined using the other three)

Regular Operations - Summarizing Table

| Operation | Symbol | UNIX version | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union | U | । | Match one of the <br> patterns |
| Concatenation | $\bullet$ | implicit in UNIX | Match patterns in <br> sequence |
| Kleene-star | $*$ | $*$ | Match pattern 0 or <br> more times |
| Kleene-plus | + | + | Match pattern 1 or <br> more times |

Regular Operations matters


- In UNIX, to search for all lines containing vowels in a text one could use the command
- egrep -i `ale|i|olu'
- Here the pattern "vowel" is matched by any line containing a vowel.
- A good way to define a pattern is as a set of strings, i.e. a language. The language for a given pattern is the set of all strings satisfying the predicate of the pattern.


## Regular operations: Union

- In UNIX, to search for all lines containing vowels in a text one could use the command
- egrep -i 'a|e|i|o|u'
- Here the pattern "vowel" is matched by any line containing a vowel.

A good way to define a pattern is as a set of strings, i.e. a language. The language for a given pattern is the set of all strings satisfying the predicate of the pattern.

- In UNIX, a pattern is implicitly assumed to occur as a substring of the matched strings. In our course, however, a pattern needs to specify the whole string, not just a substring.
- Computability: Union is exactly what we expect. If you have patterns $A=\{$ aardvark $\}, B=\{b o b c a t\}, C=\{$ chimpanzee $\}$, the union of these is $A \cup B \cup C=$ \{aardvark, bobcat, chimpanzee $\}$.
- In UNIX, to search for all lines containing vowels in a text one could use the command
egrep -i a|e|ilolu
- Here the pattern "vowel" is matched by any line containing a vowel
- A good way to define a pattern is as a set of strings, i.e. a language. The language for a given pattern is the set of all strings satisfying the predicate of the pattern
- In UNIX, a pattern is implicitly assumed to occur as a substring of the matched strings. In our course, however, a pattern needs to specify the whole string, not just a substring.


## Regular operations: Concatenation

- To search for all consecutive double occurrences of vowels, use: egrep -i ` $(a|e| i|0| u)(a|e| i|0| u)^{\prime}$
- Here the pattern "vowel" has been repeated. Parentheses have been introduced to specify where exactly in the pattern the concatenation is occurring.
- To search for all consecutive double occurrences of vowels, use: - egrep -i ` (a|e|i|o|u) (a|e|i|o|u)
- Here the pattern "vowel" has been repeated. Parentheses have been introduced to specify where exactly in the pattern the concatenation is occurring.
- Computability: Consider the previous result: $L=\{$ aardvark, bobcat, chimpanzee $\}$

When we concatenate $L$ with itself we obtain:
$\bullet L=\{$ aardvark, bobcat, chimpanzee $\} \bullet\{$ aardvark, bobcat, chimpanzee $\}=$
aardvarkaardvark, aardvarkbobcat, aardvarkchimpanzee,
bobcataardvark, bobcatbobcat, bobcatchimpanzee,
chimpanzeeaardvark, chimpanzeebobcat, chimpanzeechimpanzee\}

## Regular operations: Kleene-*

- We continue the UNIX example: now search for lines consisting purely of vowels (including the empty line):
- egrep -i `^(a|e|i|o|u)*\$
- Note: ^ and \$ are special symbols in UNIX regular expressions which respectively anchor the pattern at the beginning and end of a line. The trick above can be used to convert any Computability regular expression into an equivalent UNIX form
- Computability: Suppose we have a language $B=\{\mathrm{ba}, \mathrm{na}\}$ Question: What is the language $B^{*}$ ?


## Regular operations: Kleene-*

We continue the UNIX example: now search for lines consisting purely of vowels (including the empty line):

- egrep -i
- Note: $\wedge$ and $\$$ are special symbols in UNIX regular expressions which The trick above can be used to convert any Computability regular expression into an equivalent UNIX form


## Regular operations: Kleene-*

- We continue the UNIX example: now search for lines consisting purely of vowels (including the empty line):
egrep -i ‘^(a|e|i|o|u)*\$’
Note: ^ and \$ are special symbols in UNIX regular expressions which respectively anchor the pattern at the beginning and end of a line. The trick above can be used to convert any Computability regular expression into an equivalent UNIX form
- Computability: Suppose we have a language $B=\{\mathrm{ba}, \mathrm{na}\}$ Question: What is the language $B^{*}$ ?
- Answer: $B^{*}=\{b a, n a\}^{*}=\{\varepsilon$, ba, na, baba, bana, naba, nana bababa, babana, banaba, banana, nababa, nabana, nanaba, nanana, babababa, bababana, ... \}
- Kleene-+ is just like Kleene-* except that the pattern is forced to occur at least once
- UNIX: search for lines consisting purely of vowels (not including the empty line):
- egrep -i `^(a|e|i|o|u)+\$


## Regular operations: Kleene-+

- Kleene-+ is just like Kleene-* except that the pattern is forced to occur at least once.
- UNIX: search for lines consisting purely of vowels (not including the empty line):
egrep -i `^(a|e|i|o|u)+\$’
- Computability

Suppose we have a language $B=\{\mathrm{ba}, \mathrm{na}\}$.
What is $B^{+}$and how does it defer from $B^{*}$ ?
$B^{+}=\{b a, n a\}^{+}=\{b a$, na, baba, bana, naba, nana, bababa, babana, banaba, banana, nababa, nabana, nanaba, nanana, babababa, bababana, ... \}

The only difference is the absence of $\varepsilon$

Kleene-+ is just like Kleene-* except that the pattern is forced to occur at least once.
UNIX: search for lines consisting purely of vowels (not including the empty line):
egrep -i `^(a|e|i|o|u)+\$'

Computability:
Suppose we have a language $B=\{\mathrm{ba}, \mathrm{na}\}$ What is $B^{+}$and how does it defer from $B^{*}$ ?

## Closure of Regular Languages

- When applying regular operations to regular languages, regular languages result. That is, regular languages are closed under the operations of union, concatenation, and Kleene-*
- Goal: Show that regular languages are closed under regular operations. In particular, given regular languages $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, show:

1. $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is regular,
2. $L_{1} \bullet L_{2}$ is regular,
3. $L_{1}^{*}$ is regular.

- No.'s 2 and 3 are deferred until we learn about NFA's.
- However, No. 1 can be achieved immediately.


## Union Example

- Problem: Draw the FA for


## $L=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{*}| | x \mid=\right.$ even or $x$ ends with 11$\}$

## Cartesian Product Construction

- We want to construct a finite automaton $M$ that recognizes any strings belonging to $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$.
- Idea: Build M such that it simulates both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ simultaneously and accept if either of the automatons accepts

Let's start by drawing $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, the automaton recognizing $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$

- $L_{1}=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{*} \mid x\right.$ has even length $\}$
- $L_{2}=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{*} \mid x\right.$ ends with 11$\}$


## Cartesian Product Construction

- We want to construct a finite automaton $M$ that recognizes any strings belonging to $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$
- Idea: Build $M$ such that it simulates both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ simultaneously and accept if either of the automatons accepts

Definition: The Cartesian product of two sets $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \times \mathrm{B}$, is the set of all ordered pairs ( $a, b$ ) where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

- Given two automata
$M_{1}=\left(Q_{1}, \Sigma, \delta_{1}, q_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $M_{2}=\left(Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{2}, q_{2}, F_{2}\right)$
- Define the unioner of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ by: $M_{U}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right), F_{U}\right)$
- where the accept state $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ is the combined start state of both automata
- where $F_{U}$ is the set of ordered pairs in $Q_{1} \times Q_{2}$ with at least one state an accept state. That is: $F_{\mathrm{U}}=Q_{1} \times F_{2} \cup F_{1} \times Q_{2}$
- where the transition function $\delta$ is defined as
$\delta\left(\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right), j\right)=\left(\delta_{1}\left(q_{1}, j\right), \delta_{2}\left(q_{2}, j\right)\right)=\delta_{1} \times \delta_{2}$

Other constructions: Intersector

- Other constructions are possible, for example an intersector:
- Accept only when both ending states are accept states. So the only difference is in the set of accept states. Formally the intersector of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is given by
$M_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right), F_{\cap}\right)$, where $F_{\mathrm{n}}=F_{1} \times F_{2}$.
- When using the Cartesian Product Construction:


Other constructions: Intersector

- Other constructions are possible, for example an intersector:
- Accept only when both ending states are accept states. So the only difference is in the set of accept states. Formally the intersector of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is given by
$M_{\cap}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right), F_{n}\right)$, where $F_{\cap}=F_{1} \times F_{2}$

- The difference of two sets is defined by $A-B=\{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}$
- In other words, accept when first automaton accepts and second does not

$$
M_{-}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right), F_{-}\right),
$$

- The difference of two sets is defined by $A-B=\{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}$

In other words, accept when first automaton accepts and

$$
\text { where } F_{-}=F_{1} \times Q_{2}-Q_{1} \times F_{2}
$$ second does not

## $M_{-}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right), F_{-}\right)$,
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## Other constructions: Symmetric difference

- The symmetric difference of two sets $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ is $A \oplus B=A \cup B-A \cap B$
- Accept when exactly one automaton accepts
$M_{\oplus}=\left(Q_{1} \times Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2},\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right), F_{\oplus}\right)$, where $F_{\oplus}=F_{\cup}-F_{\cap}$


## Complement

- How about the complement? The complement is only defined with respect to some universe.
- Given the alphabet $\Sigma$, the default universe is just the set of all possible strings $\Sigma^{*}$. Therefore, given a language $L$ over $\Sigma$, i.e. $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ the complement of $L$ is $\Sigma^{*}-L$
- Note: Since we know how to compute set difference, and we know how to construct the automaton for $\Sigma^{*}$ we can construct the automaton for $\bar{L}$
- How about the complement? The complement is only defined with respect to some universe.
- Given the alphabet $\Sigma$, the default universe is just the set of all possible strings $\Sigma^{*}$. Therefore, given a language $L$ over $\Sigma$, i.e. $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ the complement of $L$ is $\Sigma^{*}-L$
- Note: Since we know how to compute set difference, and we know how to construct the automaton for $\Sigma^{*}$ we can construc the automaton for
- Question: Is there a simpler construction for $\bar{L}$ ?
- Answer: Just switch accept-states with non-accept states.
- How about the complement? The complement is only defined with respect to some universe
- Given the alphabet $\Sigma$, the default universe is just the set of all possible strings $\Sigma^{*}$. Therefore, given a language $L$ over $\Sigma$, i.e. $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ the complement of $L$ is $\Sigma^{*}-L$
- Note: Since we know how to compute set difference, and we know how to construct the automaton for $\Sigma^{*}$ we can construct the automaton for $L$
- Question: Is there a simpler construction for $\bar{L}$ ?


Complement Example


## Boolean-Closure Summary

- We have shown constructively that regular languages are closed under boolean operations. I.e., given regular languages $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ we saw that

1. $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is regular
2. $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is regular
3. $L_{1}-L_{2}$ is regular,
4. $\quad L_{1} \oplus L_{2}$ is regular,
5. $\quad \overline{L_{1}}$ is regular

- No. 2 to 4 also happen to be regular operations. We still need to show that regular languages are closed under concatenation and Kleene-*
- Tough question: Can't we do a similar trick for concatenation?


[^0]:    Somewhere inside Google datacenters

[^1]:    NYC subway system

