

Distributed Computing



HS 2015

Prof. R. Wattenhofer

## **Distributed Systems Part II**

Exercise Sheet 12

Quiz \_

## 1 Quiz

- a) When performing a concurrent update on a linked list using optimistic synchronization, how does one guarantee that no deadlocks occur?
- b) What properties do (good) hash functions have? List as many as you can!
- c) Under what circumstances is it acceptable to use the remainder function (mod x) as hash function for integer keys?
- d) How could one improve the efficiency of finding an item within an already found bucket in a hash map? In what scenarios is this preferable to shrinking the bucket size by growing the hash map? Why do these scenarios only rarely occur?
- e) How would you implement a hash map supporting inserting multiple values per key?

## Advanced

## 2 Old Exam Question: Fine-Grained Locking

The goal of this exercise is to implement a heap with mutual exclusion. A heap is a binary tree, in which the value of the parent is smaller than the values of its children. The heap is stored in an array, with the root at index 1 and the children of a node i are  $LEFT(i) = 2 \cdot i$  and  $RIGHT(i) = 2 \cdot i + 1$ . The basic functionality is implemented in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2.

- a) (4 Points) How would you implement coarse-grained locking? What consequences does this have for concurrent access by multiple processes?
- b) (8 Points) Complete the skeleton of the code in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 to implement hand-over-hand locking. You may use LOCK(j) and UNLOCK(j), which lock/unlock the jth element in the array. Not all lines are needed. You may use multiple statements per line.
- c) (5 Points) Is your implementation deadlock free? Argue why deadlocks are not possible or provide an example of a deadlock.
- d) (3 Points) When using hand-over-hand locking the root is always locked at the beginning of each operation. Could you use a different locking mechanism to avoid this contention of the root?