



COMPUTER NETWORKS



Roger Wattenhofer
Summer 2007

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION




Computer Networks
“Summer” 2007

Overview





- What's the Internet?
- What's a protocol?
- Network edge vs. core
- Access net, physical media
- Performance: loss, delay
- Protocol layers, service models
- Backbones, NAPs, ISPs
- History & Future

- Goal: get context, overview, “feeling” of networking, postpone details.



What's the Internet: "nuts and bolts" view

- Millions of connected computing devices: Hosts, End-Systems
 - PC's, workstations, servers
 - PDA's, phones, toasters running network applications
- Communication links
 - fiber, copper, radio
- Routers
 - forward packets (chunks) of data through network




“Cool” Internet appliances



IP picture frame
[www.ceiva.com]




Web-enabled
toaster and
weather
forecaster



World's smallest web server

Streaming
(Video, Audio, VoIP)



Plus Web “2.0” Stuff




- e.g. “I kind of look like...”



=



- Plus lots of social networking sites
 - myspace, flickr, last.fm, secondlife, etc.



What's the Internet: "nuts and bolts" view





- protocols: control sending, receiving of messages
 - TCP, IP, HTTP, FTP, PPP
- Internet: "network of networks"
 - loosely hierarchical
 - public Internet versus private Intranet
- Internet standards
 - RFC: Request for comments
 - IETF: Internet Engineering Task Force



What's the Internet: a service view



- communication infrastructure enables distributed applications
 - WWW, email, games, e-commerce, databases, voting, file sharing
- communication services provided
 - connectionless
 - connection-oriented
- cyberspace [Gibson]:
 - “a consensual hallucination experienced daily by billions of operators, in every nation,”



What's a protocol?



Human protocols

- “what’s the time?”
- “I have a question”
- introductions


... specific msgs sent

... specific actions taken
when msgs received, or
other events

Network protocols

- machines rather than humans
- all communication activity in Internet governed by protocols

*protocols define format, order of
msgs sent and received among
network entities, and actions
taken on msg transmission,
receipt*





What's a protocol?



a human protocol

and



a computer network protocol



A closer look at network structure





- network edge
 - hosts and applications
- network core
 - routers
 - network of networks
- access networks, physical media
 - communication links



The network edge



- end systems (hosts)
 - run application programs
 - e.g. WWW, email
 - at “edge of network”
- client/server model
 - client host requests, receives service from server
 - e.g. WWW client (browser) /server; email client/server
- peer-to-peer model
 - host interaction symmetric
 - e.g. BitTorrent, Skype



Network edge: connection-oriented service




Goal: data transfer between end systems

- handshaking: setup (prepare for) data transfer ahead of time
 - “Hello, hello back” human protocol
 - set up “state” in two communicating hosts
- TCP
 - Transmission Control Protocol
 - connection-oriented service of the Internet

TCP [RFC 793]

- reliable, in-order byte-stream data transfer
 - loss: acknowledgements and retransmissions
- flow control
 - sender won’t overwhelm receiver
- congestion control
 - senders “slow down sending rate” when network congested



Network edge: connectionless service



Goal: data transfer between end systems


- same as before!
- UDP - User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]
 - Internet's connectionless service
 - unreliable data transfer
 - no flow control
 - no congestion control

App's using TCP

- HTTP (WWW)
- FTP (file transfer)
- Telnet (remote login)
- SMTP (email)

App's using UDP



- streaming media
- teleconferencing
- Internet telephony



The network core





- “graph” of interconnected routers
- the fundamental question: how is data transferred through net?
- Circuit switching
 - dedicated circuit per call
 - telephone network
- Packet switching
 - data sent through network in discrete “chunks”



Circuit Switching



- End-end resources reserved for “call”
- Divide link bandwidth into “pieces”
 - Frequency division
 - Time division
- dedicated resources
no sharing; “piece” is idle if not used by user
- circuit-like (guaranteed) performance
- call setup required




Frequency Division and Time Division Multiple Access





Example:

4 users 

FDMA



TDMA




Packet Switching




- each end-end data stream divided into packets
- packets share network resources
- each packet uses full link bandwidth
- resources used as needed
- resource contention
 - aggregate resource demand can exceed amount available
- congestion
 - packets queue
 - wait for link use
- store-and-forward
 - packets move one hop at a time
 - router receives whole packet before sending the first bit over the next link

Bandwidth division into “pieces”


Dedicated allocation
Resource reservation



Packet Switching





- Real-world example for packet switching: Cafeteria (ETH Mensa)




Circuit switching vs. Packet switching




- 1 Mbit link
- each user
 - 100Kbps when “active”
 - active 10% of time
- circuit-switching
 - 10 users
- packet switching:
 - with 50 users, $\Pr[\text{more than 10 users active}] < 1\%$
 - with 100 users, $\Pr[\text{more than 10 users active}] \approx 42\%$
- Packet switching allows more users... Really?



Packet Switching




- Source breaks message into smaller chunks: “packets”
- Store-and-forward: switch waits until one chunk has completely arrived, then forwards/routes
- What if message was sent as single unit?



Circuit switching vs. Packet switching




- Is packet switching a “slam dunk winner“?
- Great for bursty data
 - resource sharing
 - no call setup
- But: Excessive congestion: packet delay and loss
 - protocols needed for reliable data transfer
 - header overhead
 - congestion control
- How to provide circuit-like behavior?
 - bandwidth guarantees needed for audio/video apps
 - still an unsolved problem



Packet-switched networks: Routing





- Goal: move packets among routers from source to destination
- We later study several path selection algorithms
- datagram network
 - destination address determines next hop
 - routes may change during session
 - analogy: driving, asking directions
- virtual circuit network
 - each packet carries tag (virtual circuit ID)
 - tag determines next hop
 - fixed path determined at call setup time, remains fixed
 - routers maintain per-call state



Delay in packet-switched networks



- packets experience delay on end-to-end path
- four sources of delay at each hop
 - Nodal processing
 - check bit errors
 - determine output link
 - Queuing
 - time waiting at output link for transmission
 - depends on congestion level of router





Delay in packet-switched networks



- Transmission delay:
 - R = link bandwidth (bps)
 - L = packet length (bits)
 - time to send bits into link = L/R
- Propagation delay:
 - d = length of physical link
 - s = propagation speed in medium ($\sim 2 \times 10^8$ m/sec)
 - propagation delay = d/s

Note: s and R are different quantities!




Queuing delay




- R =link bandwidth (bps)
- L =packet length (bits)
- a =average packet arrival rate (packets per second)

- Arrival rate $\lambda = La$ (bps)
- Service rate $\mu = R$ (bps)
- Traffic intensity $\rho = \lambda / \mu$



- ρ small: average queuing delay small
- $\rho \rightarrow 1$: delays become large
- $\rho \geq 1$: more “work” arriving than can be serviced, average delay grows infinitely!




“Real” Internet delays and routes: traceroute




Tracing route from photek.ethz.ch [129.132.13.122] to google.com [216.239.35.100]:


1	<10 ms	<10 ms	<10 ms	rou-ifw-1-inf-vs.ethz.ch [129.132.13.65]
2	<10 ms	<10 ms	<10 ms	rou-gw-switch-1-mega-transit-2.ethz.ch [129.132.99.213]
3	<10 ms	<10 ms	<10 ms	swiez2.ethz.ch [192.33.92.11]
4	<10 ms	<10 ms	<10 ms	swilX1-G2-3.switch.ch [130.59.36.250]
5	<10 ms	<10 ms	<10 ms	zch-b1-geth4-1.telia.net [213.248.79.189]
6	<10 ms	10 ms	<10 ms	ffm-b1-pos5-3.telia.net [213.248.77.133]
7	10 ms	20 ms	20 ms	213.248.68.90
8	10 ms	20 ms	20 ms	de-cix.fra.above.net [80.81.192.226]
9	<10 ms	10 ms	<10 ms	so-0-1-0.cr1.fra1.de.mfnx.net [216.200.116.213]
10	10 ms	20 ms	10 ms	pos9-0.cr1.cdg2.fr.mfnx.net [64.125.31.161]
11	40 ms	41 ms	50 ms	so-5-0-0.cr1.lhr3.uk.mfnx.net [64.125.31.154]
12	100 ms	100 ms	100 ms	so-7-0-0.cr1.dca2.us.mfnx.net [64.125.31.186]
13	170 ms	180 ms	170 ms	so-3-0-0.mpr3.sjc2.us.mfnx.net [208.184.233.133]
14	170 ms	180 ms	180 ms	so-0-0-0.mpr4.sjc2.us.mfnx.net [64.125.30.2]
15	170 ms	180 ms	180 ms	so-1-0-0.cr2.sjc3.us.mfnx.net [208.184.233.50]
16	170 ms	180 ms	170 ms	pos1-0.er2a.sjc3.us.mfnx.net [208.185.175.198]
17	160 ms	150 ms	160 ms	sjni1-2-3.net.google.com [216.239.48.238]
18	170 ms	170 ms	160 ms	sjbi1-1-1.net.google.com [216.239.47.162]
19	151 ms	150 ms	160 ms	www.google.com [216.239.35.100]



Networking Taxonomy



- We concentrate on right-hand path (predominant in Internet)





Access networks and physical media

Q: How to connect end systems to edge router?

- residential access nets
- institutional access networks (school, company)
- mobile access networks

Keep in mind



- bandwidth (bits per second) of access network?
- shared or dedicated?



Residential access: point to point access





- Dialup via modem
 - up to 56Kbps direct access to router (conceptually)
- ISDN
 - integrated services digital network
 - 128Kbps all-digital connect to router
- ADSL
 - asymmetric digital subscriber line
 - up to 1 Mbps home-to-router
 - up to 8 Mbps router-to-home
 - ADSL deployment: happening



Residential access: cable modems



- Other forms of cable modems
 - Power line: e.g. Ascom Powerline
 - TV cable modem: e.g. CableCom, Glattnet
 - Satellite with feedback on phone line
 - Wireless local loop



Institutional access: local area networks





- company/university local area network (LAN) connects end system to edge router
- Example: Ethernet
 - shared or dedicated cable connects end systems and router
 - 10 Mbps, 100Mbps, Gigabit Ethernet, etc.
- deployment: institutions, home LANs happening now



Wireless access networks



- shared *wireless* access network connects end system to router
- wireless LANs
 - radio spectrum replaces wire
 - 802.11b with up to 11 Mbps
 - 802.11a/g with up to 54 Mbps
- wider-area wireless access
 - GSM: wireless access to ISP router via cellular network





Home networks



Typical home network components


- ADSL or cable modem
- router/firewall
- Ethernet
- wireless access point



Physical Media





- physical link
 - transmitted data bit propagates across link
- guided media
 - signals propagate in solid media: copper, fiber
- unguided media
 - signals propagate freely, e.g. radio
- Twisted Pair TP (UTP, STP)
 - two insulated copper wires
 - traditional phone wires
 - 10 Mbps Ethernet
 - Category 3
 - 100Mbps Ethernet
 - Category 5
 - 1Gbps Ethernet
 - Category 6
 - 1Gbps Ethernet



Physical Media: coax, fiber




- Coaxial cable:
 - wire (signal carrier) within a wire (shield)
 - variant baseband (“50Ω”)
 - single channel on cable
 - variant broadband (“75Ω”)
 - multiple channels on cable
 - bidirectional
 - 10Mbps Ethernet
- Fiber optic cable:
 - glass fiber carrying light pulses
 - high-speed operation: 100Mbps Ethernet
 - high-speed point-to-point transmission (>10 Gbps)
 - low error rate



Physical media: Radio




- signal carried in electromagnetic spectrum
- no physical “wire”
- bidirectional
- propagation environment effects:
 - reflection
 - obstruction by objects
 - interference
- Radio link types:
 - microwave
 - e.g. up to 45 Mbps
 - wireless LAN (802.11)
 - 2Mbps, 11Mbps, 54Mbps
 - wide-area (e.g. cellular)
 - GSM, 10’s Kbps
 - UMTS, Mbps
 - satellite
 - up to 50Mbps channel (or multiple smaller channels)
 - GEO: 270 msec end-end delay
 - geosynchronous vs. LEO’s





Networks are complex!



- many “pieces”
 - hosts
 - routers
 - links of various media
 - applications
 - protocols
 - hardware
 - software
- Questions:
 - Is there any hope of organizing the structure of a network?
 - Or at least our discussion of networks?



Organization of air travel




Organization of air travel: a different view



ticket (purchase)	ticket (complain)
baggage (check)	baggage (claim)
gates (load)	gates (unload)
runway takeoff	runway landing
airplane routing	airplane routing
airplane routing	

- Layers: each layer implements a service
 - via its own internal-layer actions
 - relying on services provided by layer below



Layered air travel: services




Counter-to-counter delivery of person+bags

baggage-claim-to-baggage-claim delivery

people transfer: loading gate to arrival gate

runway-to-runway delivery of plane



airplane routing from source to destination



Distributed implementation of layer functionality





Departing airport




Arriving airport


intermediate air traffic sites



Another example of layering




[Tanenbaum]



Why layering?





- Dealing with complex systems
- Explicit structure allows identification, relationship of complex system's pieces
 - layered reference model for discussion
- Modularization eases maintenance, updating of system
 - change of implementation of layer's service transparent to rest of system
 - e.g. change in gate procedure doesn't affect rest of system



Internet protocol stack (TCP/IP reference model)




- **application:**
 - ftp, SMTP, http
- **transport:** host-host data transfer
 - TCP, UDP
- **network:** routing of datagrams from source to destination
 - IP, routing protocols
- **link:** data transfer between neighboring network elements
 - PPP, Ethernet
- **physical:** bits “on the wire”



ISO/OSI Reference Model



- 7 layers instead
 - Application, Presentation, Session, Transport, Network, Data Link, Physical
 - Presentation: Syntax and semantics of information transmitted
 - Session: Long-Term transport, such as checkpointing
- 3 central concepts
 - Service: Tells what the layer does
 - Interface: Tells the process above how to access the layer
 - Protocol: How the service is performed; the layer's own business.
- In this course, we use the Internet reference model





Layering: logical communication



Each layer



- distributed
- “entities” implement layer functions at each node
- entities perform actions, exchange messages with peers





Layering: *logical* communication

Example: transport

- take data from app
- add addressing, reliability check info to form “datagram”
- send datagram to peer
- wait for peer to ack receipt
- Analogy: post office





Layering: *physical* communication



Protocol layering and data





- Each layer takes data from above
 - adds header information to create new data unit
 - passes new data unit to layer below



Internet structure: network of networks





- roughly hierarchical
- national/international backbone providers (NBPs), a.k.a. “tier 1”
 - e.g. UUNet, Sprint, Abovenet, AT&T, BBN/GTE, etc.
 - interconnect (peer) with each other privately, or at public Network Access Point (NAP)
- regional ISPs
 - connect into NBPs
- local ISP, company
 - connect into regional ISPs



Network of typical backbone provider




The Gigabit Research Network





Zur Geschichte der Kommunikation

- Tontäfelchen (3000 v.u.Z)
- Fackeltelegraphie
 - bereits im 5. Jh. v.u.Z. (Griechenland)
- Brieftauben
- Spätestens Mittelalter
- Reiterboten
 - Ab 1860
- Trommeln, Spiegel, Flaggen, ...
- Optische Telegraphen
 - Claude Chappe (Frankreich, 1791)
 - Schweiz: ab 1850




WANTED
YOUNG SKINNY WIRY FELLOWS
not over eighteen. Must be expert
riders willing to risk death daily.
Orphans preferred. WAGES \$25 per
week. Apply, *Central Overland Ex-
press, Alta Bldg., Mont*





Protokoll von Polybius (2. Jhd. v.u.Z, Griechenland)

- Alphabet als 5 Gruppen zu 5 oder 4 Zeichen
- 2 Gruppen mit je 5 Fackeln
- Verbindungsaufbau
 1. Sendeabsicht: Heben von 2 Fackeln
 2. Empfangsbereitschaft: Heben von 2 Fackeln
 3. Senken der Fackeln
- Datenübertragung für jedes Zeichen
 1. Linke Fackelgruppe: Zeichengruppe anzeigen
 2. Senken der Fackeln
 3. Rechte Fackelgruppe: Zeichen anzeigen
 4. Senken der Fackeln






Protokoll bei Optischen Telegraphen

- Regeln für korrekten Nachrichtenaustausch
- Typischerweise synchrones Protokoll, d.h. sendende Station muss Symbol so lange zeigen, bis es von der empfangenden Station bestätigt wird.
- Es gab ein Fehlersignal, mit dem man wie bei "backspace" das letzte Zeichen löschen konnte.
- Dieses Protokoll erinnert stark an moderne Protokolle.



Elektrische Telegraphen


- 1774: 26 Drähte (unpraktisch)
- 1837: Elektrischer Zeigertelegraph
 - Cooke und Wheatstone
 - 5 Magnetnadeln, jeweils 2 werden abgelenkt und zeigen auf 1 von 20(!) Zeichen
- Man erreicht ca. 25 Zeichen pro Minute
- 1837: Samuel Morse
- 1851: Paris – London
- 1852: 6400km Kabel in England
- 1866: London – New York
 - 20 Wörter kosten \$100
- Eigenständige Industrie




Telefon



- Reiss (1863), Bell (1876), Edison (1877), Siemens (1878)
- “This ‘phone’ has way to many shortcomings to consider it as a serious way of communicating. The unit is worthless to us.” [Aktenvermerk Western Union, 1876]
- Ab 1880: Öffentliche Telefonnetze
 - Zuerst maximal 30km Ausdehnung




[New York 1895]



Wireless Transmission




- 1895: Guglielmo Marconi (1874 – 1937)
 - first demonstration of wireless telegraphy (digital!)
 - long wave transmission, high transmission power necessary ($> 200\text{kW}$)
 - Nobel Prize in Physics 1909
- 1901: First transatlantic connection
- 1906 (Xmas): First radio broadcast
- 1907: Commercial transatlantic connections
 - huge base stations (30 100m high antennas)
- 1920: Discovery of short waves by Marconi
- 1928: First TV broadcast
 - Atlantic, color TV



Weitere historische Meilensteine



- 1964: Nachrichtensatelliten
- 1966: Glasfaser
- 1958 : Erste Analoge Handynetze: Deutsches A-Netz
– Vergleich PTT (Swisscom) NATEL: 1978 – 1995
- 1982 : Start der GSM Standardisierung
- 1997: Wireless LAN
- ...




Internet History 1961-72: Early packet-switching principles

- 1961: [Kleinrock] queuing theory shows effectiveness of packet-switching
- 1964: [Baran] packet-switching in military nets
- 1967: ARPAnet conceived by Advanced Research Projects Agency
- 1969: first ARPAnet node operational, first network with 4 nodes
- 1972
 - ARPAnet demonstrated publicly
 - NCP (Network Control Protocol) first host-host protocol
 - first e-mail program
 - ARPAnet has 15 nodes




MAP-4 September 1971



1972-80: Internetworking, new and proprietary nets




- 1970: ALOHAnet satellite network in Hawaii
- 1973: Metcalfe's PhD thesis proposes Ethernet
- 1974: [Cerf and Kahn] architecture for interconnecting networks
- Late 70's:
 - proprietary architectures: DECnet, SNA, XNS
 - switching fixed length packets (ATM precursor)
- 1979: ARPAnet has 200 nodes
- Vinton G. Cerf and Robert E. Kahn's (Ehrendoktoren der ETH seit 1998) internetworking principles:
 - minimalism
 - autonomy
 - no internal changes required to interconnect networks
 - best effort service model
 - stateless routers
 - decentralized control
 - define today's Internet architecture



1980-90: new protocols, a proliferation of networks



- 1983: deployment of TCP/IP
- 1982: SMTP e-mail protocol defined
- 1983: DNS defined for name-to-IP-address translation
- 1985: FTP protocol defined
- 1988: TCP congestion control
- new national networks: NSFnet, CSNET, BITnet, Minitel
- 100,000 hosts connected to confederation of networks



1990's: Commercialization, WWW

- Early 1990's: ARPAnet decommissioned
- 1991: NSF lifts restrictions on commercial use of NSFnet (decommissioned, 1995)
- early 1990s: WWW
 - hypertext [Bush 1945, Nelson 1960's]
 - HTML, http: Berners-Lee
 - 1994: Mosaic, later Netscape
 - late 1990's commercialization of the WWW



- Late 1990's
 - est. 50 million computers on Internet
 - est. 100 million+ users
 - backbone links running at 1 Gbps





Number of hosts in the Internet (lower bound)



Internet Domain Survey Host Count



Domain Names ending in .ch






Internet Providers by “size” and “region”




copyright ©2002 UC Regents. all rights reserved.

SKITTER AS INTERNET GRAPH




Internet Topology


The image depicts the Internet topology. It shows 535,000-odd Internet nodes and over 600,000 links. The nodes, represented by the yellow dots, are a large sample of computers from across the whole range of Internet addresses.




[<http://www.cybergeography.org/atlas/topology.html>]




More Internet Topology



This graph is part of a larger graph and shows the portion of a corporate Intranet that is 'leaking' with the Internet.




This graph shows the router level connectivity of the Internet.




A topology map of a core network of a medium-sized ISP.


[<http://www.cybergeography.org/atlas/topology.html>]



The SWITCH network




[www.switch.ch]




©SWITCH, 20040229

Internet Users Worldwide




[<http://www.worldmapper.org>]





Favorite Web Sites



- Switzerland
 - bluewin (world rank 498), ricardo, pctipp, bluewin, bluewin, bluewin, libellules, sunrise, 20min, ubs, gameswelt, sunrise...
- World
 - google.com, yahoo, google.de, google (https), google.co.uk, google.fr, mail.google, microsoft, bbc, bbc, foxnews, google.ca




The “Dot-Com Bubble”




Course overview



Introduction Overview	<i>Intro</i>
Applications: Email, WWW, etc. More Applications and Sockets	<i>Layer 5</i>
Transport Layer: UDP and TCP Advanced Transport Layer	<i>Layer 4</i>
Network Layer: Routing Basics Advanced Network Layer	<i>Layer 3</i>
Link Layer: Aloha, etc.	<i>Layer 2</i>
Link Layer: Ethernet, Hubs, etc.	<i>Layer 2</i>
Physical Layer, Wireless	<i>Layer 1</i>
Peer-to-Peer Computing Network Security Distributed Systems Network Management Etc.	<i>Special Topics</i>



Literature








Course book
Andrew S. Tanenbaum
Computer Networks
Fourth Edition


German version
also available



There are alternatives, for example Kurose/Ross



Other Courses, Master in Distributed Systems



Enterprise Application Integration – Alonso

Parallel and Distr. Databases – Alonso

Ubiquitous Computing – Mattern

Distributed Algorithms – Mattern

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Wattenhofer

Principles of Distributed Computing – Wattenhofer

Web Algorithms – Wattenhofer & Widmayer

More: See www.dcg.ethz.ch, tik.ee.ethz.ch, pc.inf.ethz.ch.

